Reply to Office action of July 30, 2009

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the prior Office Action, the Examiner issued an election of species

requirement, concluding that the application included claims directed to the following

patentably distinct species:

Species 1 (as depicted in Fig. 1);

Species 2 (as depicted in Fig. 2); and

Species 3 (as depicted in Fig. 3).

In response, applicant hereby elects, without traverse, Species 1 (as depicted in

Fig. 1). Claims 1-3, 7-11, 13 and 14 read on the elected species. Claims 1, 3, 7-9, 13

and 14 are generic. Applicant notes that in the Office Action Summary the Examiner

indicated that claims 1-15 were pending in the application. This is inaccurate. Claims

1-15 were canceled in a Preliminary Amendment filed on February 21, 2006.

In light of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is

in a condition for allowance and notice to that effect is hereby requested. If it is

determined that the application is not in a condition for allowance, the Examiner is

invited to initiate a telephone interview with the undersigned attorney to expedite

prosecution of the present application.

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please charge

the same to Deposit Account No. 18-0160, Order No. AAT-16540.

Respectfully submitted,

RANKIN, HILL & CLARK LLP

By: /Randolph E. Digges, III/

Randolph E. Digges, III

Reg. No. 40590

23755 Lorain Road, Suite 200 North Olmsted, OH 44070

TEL: (216) 566-9700

FAX: (216) 566-9711

docketing@rankinhill.com

4